Under the Apperwork

2 1 2004

PTO/SB/21 (08-03)
Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ation Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

		Application Number	08/479,920	Dra
EODM.		Filing Date	June 7, 1995	RECEIVED
		First Named Inventor	Marcus F. Boehm	JAN 1 4 2004
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Group Art Unit	1621 0	FFICE OF PETITIONS
		Examiner Name	Paul J. Killos	SPETTIONS
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	14	Attorney Docket Number	45026.00065.CON1	

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)					
Fee Transmittal Form			Drawing(s)	[After Allowance Communication
Fee Attached			Licensing-related Papers	֓֟֝֟֝֟֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֡֓֡֓֡֡֡֓֓֓֓֡֓֡֡֓֡֓֡	to Group Appeal Communication to Board
Amendment/Reply			Petition		of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
After Final			Petition to Convert to a Provis Application	sional	Proprietary Information
Affidavits/declaration	n(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence	n [Status Letter
Extension of Time Reque	st		Address Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
Express Abandonment Re	equest		Request for Refund	F	Petition to Withdraw Holding of
Information Disclosure St	atement		CD, Number of CD(s)	1 1 2 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Abandonment Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 dated 1/6/04; copy of Petition Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.313(a) to Withdraw Application from Issuance dated 0/14/98; copy of Examiner Interview Summary Record; and copy of Amendment and Remarks dated
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Rema	rks		3/10/99.
Response to Missing Part Incomplete Application	ts/				
Response to Missir under 37 CFR 1.52	-				•
				ī	
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT					
Firm or Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP Individual name					
Signature Jane K. Babin, Ph.D., Esq. Reg. No. 47,224					
Date January 6, 2004					
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.					
Typed or printed name	Typed or printed name Janice Crisp				
Signature	Sprice (Cri	ap	Date	January 6, 2004

RECEIVED

JAN 2 1 2004

Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee

FEE CALCULATION

**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above

Ja<u>ne</u> K. Babin, Ph.D., Esg.

SUBMITTED BY

Name (Print/Type)

Signature

to the above-identified deposit account.

PTO/SB/17 (10-03) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0032 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE pare required to resound an availection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Act of 1995, no Complete if Known PETRANSMITTA! 08/479,920 Application Number June 7, 1995 for FY 2004 Filing Date Marcus F. Boehm First Named Inventor Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision. JAN 1-4-2004 **Examiner Name** Paul J. Killos Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27 1621 Art Unit OFFICE OF PETITIONS (\$) 130.00 TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT 45026.00065.CON1 Attorney Docket No METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply) FEE CALCULATION Money Check Credit card Other 3. ADDITIONAL FEES None Order arge Entity , Small Entity JUN 3 0 2004 Deposit Account: Fee Fee Description Deposit Code (\$) Code (\$) 50-2613 Account Number 1051 130 2051 65 Surcharge - late filing females OF PE Deposit 1052 50 2052 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or Account cover sheet Name 1053 130 1053 Non-English specification 130 The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply) 1812 2,520 1812 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit any overpayments 1804 920 1804 920* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s)

420 2252 Extension for reply within second month 1252 210 1. BASIC FILING FEE 1253 950 2253 arge Entity Small Entity 475 Extension for reply within third month Fee Paid Fee Fee Code (\$) Fee Description 1254 1,480 2254 Extension for reply within fourth month Code (\$) 1,005 Extension for reply within fifth month 1255 2.010 2255 1001 770 2001 385 Utility filing fee 1002 340 2002 1401 330 2401 170 Design filing fee 165 Notice of Appeal 2003 1003 530 265 Plant filing fee 1402 330 2402 165 Filing a brief in support of an appeal 1004 770 2004 385 Reissue filing fee 1403 290 2403 145 Request for oral hearing 1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing fee 1451 1,510 1451 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding 1452 110 2452 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 01453 1,330 2453 665 Petition to revive - unintentional 2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE 1501 1,330 2501 665 Utility issue fee (or reissue) Extra Claims Fee Paid below 1502 480 2502 240 Design issue fee **Total Claims** -20** = Х 1503 640 2503 320 Plant issue fee Independent 1460 130 1460 130.00 130 Petitions to the Commissioner Multiple Dependent 1807 50 1807 50 Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q) Large Entity Small Entity 1806 180 1806 180 Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt Fee Fee Fee Description Fee 40 Recording each patent assignment per Code (\$) Code (\$) 8021 40 8021 property (times number of properties) 1202 2202 Claims in excess of 20 18 9 385 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a)) 1809 770 2809 1201 86 2201 43 Independent claims in excess of 3 1203 290 2203 145 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 1810 770 2810 385 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR 1.129(b)) 1204 2204 ** Reissue independent claims 86 over original patent 770 1801 2801 385 Request for Continued Examination (RCE) ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 1205 2205 1802 900 900 Request for expedited examination 18 9 1802 and over original patent of a design application Other fee (specify) [(\$) ⁰ SUBTOTAL (2) *Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid

1805 1.840

110

1251

1805 1.8401

2251

Requesting publication of SIR after

Extension for reply within first month

(\$) 130.00

SUBTOTAL (3)

Date

(Complete (if applicable))

Telephone 858-720-2677

Examiner action

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Registration No.

47,224



JAN 2 1 2004

SAN/72082.3

Patent 45026.00065.CON1 (formerly 015110.0065.CON1)

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

•	O INGS		
	In re the Application of:) Group Art Unit: 1621	RECEIVED JAN 1 4 2004
7	Applicant: Boehm, et al.) Examiner: Killos, Paul J.	
	Serial No.: 08/479,920)	
	Filed: June 7, 1995		
	For: COMPOUNDS HAVING SELECTIVE ACTIVITY FOR RETINOID X RECEPTORS, AND MEANS FOR MODULATION OF PROCESSES MEDIATED BY RETINOID X RECEPTORS)))))	
	PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	F ABANDONMENT UNDER 37	⁷ C.F.R. § 1.181
	Dear Sir:		
01/13/2004 01 FC:1460	Applicants hereby petition under 37 C.F.R Applicants respectfully submit that the holding of EFLORES 00000156 502613 08479920 130.00 DA	_	f abandonment.
		E OF MAILING .R. §1.8a)	
	I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope 1450, Alexandria, VA. 22313-1450.	or enclosed) is being deposited with the United S	tates Postal Service on the or Patents, P.O. Box
	1-6-04	Janice Crisp Name of Person Mailing Paper	
	Date of Deposit	Signature of Person Mailine Paper	

A Notice of Abandonment for this case was mailed on May 6, 1999. In an interview on August 10, 1999, the Examiner recognized that the Notice of Abandonment had been issued in error and agreed to revive the case. That agreement is evidenced in the Interview Summary Record. As is discussed below, it appears that that Interview Summary Record was misplaced within the Patent Office file. Whatever the reason, the Patent Office failed to revive the application, as it had agreed to do in the interview. Since the time of the interview, no event has occurred to provide a basis for holding the application abandoned and no additional Notice of Abandonment has been received by the Applicants. Thus, the holding of abandonment should be withdrawn and the application should be held as pending. Moreover, an Amendment filed August 10, 1999, the same day as the interview, placed the Application in condition for allowance. Thus, Applicants respectfully request that the Application be allowed.

Background

On July 28, 1998, Applicants received a Notice of Allowability allowing claims 33-37 and 39-48. Payment of a \$1320.00 issue fee was due on October 28, 1998. On October 14, 1998, Applicants filed a Petition Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.313(a) to Withdraw Application From Issuance, along with the appropriate petition fee of \$130.00 (copy enclosed). Applicants noted in that Petition that the "claims of the application contain interfering subject matter with the claims of issued U.S. Patent No. 5,466,861 . . ." and further noted that a request for interference would be filed separately. That October 14, 1998 filing was adequate to withdraw the case from issuance as potential

SAN/72082.3 -2-

interference provides "good and sufficient reasons why withdrawal of the application from issue is necessary." See 37 C.F.R. § 1.313(a).

Inspection of the Patent Office file history of the Application (obtained through a third-party service, DigiPat, Arlington VA) showed that Applicants' Rule 313(a) Petition was not in the file and was not recorded in the application "Contents." Notwithstanding Applicants' request for withdrawal from issuance, the application was mistakenly abandoned by the U.S. Patent Office, as evidenced by the "Notice of Abandonment" mailed on May 6, 1999. According to the notice, the application was considered abandoned for failure to pay the issue fee.

Upon receipt of the Notice of Abandonment, Applicants promptly contacted Examiner Killos and confirmed that the abandonment was issued in error. Examiner Killos agreed to correct the mistake and act on the application. On August 10, 1999, Applicants conducted an in-person interview with Examiner Killos, which is memorialized in the Examiner Interview Summary Record (copy enclosed). That Interview Summary Record confirms that the application should not have been abandoned. *See id.* (providing Examiner's comments: "Case to be revived.").

Applicants note that this Interview Summary Record appears to have been misfiled by the Patent Office, which may account for the lack of follow-up. When Applicants obtained a copy of the file history, the Interview Summary Record was notably absent from the Contents listing. Upon careful examination of the file, however, the August 10, 1999 Interview Summary Record was found between an Interview Summary Record dated January 8, 1997 (paper No. 10) and an Office Action dated February 24, 1997 (paper No. 11). Perhaps that misfiling of the August 10, 1999 Interview

SAN/72082.3 -3-

Summary Record, along with the failure to assign a Paper Number or to catalog the document in the Application Contents, contributed to the inadvertent failure of the Patent Office to recognize that abandonment had been withdrawn.

Also on August 10, 1999, the same day as the interview, Applicants filed an Amendment (copy enclosed). In that Amendment, Applicants cancelled claims containing subject matter that potentially interfered with U.S. Patent Nos. 5,466,861 and 5,837,725 to Dawson, *et al.* The remaining claims, having received a thorough examination on the merits, were thus placed in condition for allowance and a notice to that effect was anticipated by the Applicants.

Applicants thereafter filed a Revocation and Grant of Power of Attorney on July 9, 2001. Then, having not received any correspondence from the Patent Office following the August 10, 1999 Interview and Amendment, Applicants filed a Status Inquiry on November 26, 2001. The Patent Office did not respond to that Status Inquiry. Applicants filed another Revocation and Grant of Power of Attorney on November 1, 2002 and, having not received a response to the Status Inquiry filed November 26, 2001, Applicants inquired as to the status of the application using the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Applicants were surprised to learn that as of July 24, 2003, according to the PAIR system, the last four entries in the file history, were:

- (1) Item Number 27 Mail Notice of Allowance, July 28, 1998;
- (2) Item Number 28 Abandonment for Failure to Pay Issue Fee, May 6, 1999;

SAN/72082.3 -4-

- (3) Item Number 29 Mail Notice of Abandonment from Publications, May 6, 1999; and
- (4) Item Number 30 Correspondence Address Change, November 25, 2000.

Thus, the PAIR system and File History for the application do not reflect a number of documents filed by Applicants and/or recorded by the Examiner in this case. Specifically:

- (1) the Examiner Interview Summary Record dated August 10, 1999, was found in the Patent Office file, but not in the expected location and not indexed in either the file history contents or the PAIR system;
- (2) the Amendment filed by the Applicants on August 10, 1999, is absent from Patent Office records; and
- (3) the Petition Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.313(a) to Withdraw Application From Issuance, filed on October 14, 1998, is neither present in the file history nor indexed in either the file history contents or PAIR system records.

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully submit that Patent Office error in misplacing or misfiling the documents listed above mistakenly resulted in failure to withdraw the holding of abandonment. Further, Applicants respectfully submit that the two documents dated August 10, 1999 (*i.e.*, item (1) the Examiner Interview Summary Record, and (2) the Amendment) demonstrate that the Patent Office acknowledged that the application was mistakenly deemed abandoned and agreed to withdraw the holding of abandonment. Since August 10, 1999, no event has occurred that provides a statutory basis for abandonment. Moreover, Applicants have not received a Notice of

SAN/72082.3 -5-

Patent 45026.00065.CON1 (formerly 015110.0065.CON1)

Abandonment other than the original Notice mailed May 6, 1999, which the Examiner agreed to withdraw.

Accordingly, Applicants hereby petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.181 to withdraw the holding of abandonment. Applicant provides the required petition fee of \$130.00 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h). If any additional fee is due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee required by this submission to our Deposit Account No. 50-2613.

Respectfully submitted,

PAUL HASTINGS JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP

Dated:

By:

Jane K. Babin

Reg. No. 47,224

PAUL HASTINGS JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP

12390 El Camino Real San Diego, CA 92130 Phone: (858) 720-2500

Fax: (858) 720-2555

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT	PATENT 214/226 F AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
In re Application of:	RECEIVED
BOEHM et al.	,
Serial No.: 08/479,920) Art Unit: 1621
Filed: June 7, 1995	Examiner: Killos, P. TECH CENTER 1600/2900
For: COMPOUNDS HAVING SELECTIVE ACTIVITY FOR RETINOID X RECEPTORS, AND MEANS FOR MODULATION OF PROCESSES MEDIATED BY RETINOID X RECEPTORS)))))
•	

PETITION PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.313(a) TO WITHDRAW APPLICATION FROM ISSUANCE

Box Issue Fee c/o Technology Center 3700 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.313(a), applicants of the above-identified patent application hereby petition and request withdrawal from issuance of the application, for which applicants have received a Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due dated July 28, 1998.

L	١-	54	16	80).	1

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 C.F.R. §1.10)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as 'Express Mail Post Office To Addressee' in an envelope addressed to the Box Issue Fee, c/o Technology Center 3700, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, D.C. 20231.

EM351173167US	Rick Moreno
Express Mail Label No.	Name of Person Mailing Paper
October 14, 1998	Rick Hareng
Date of Deposit	Signature of Person Mailing Paper

The reason why withdrawal of the application is necessary is so that an interference can be declared, since the claims of this application contain interfering subject matter with the claims of issued U.S. Patent No. 5,466,861 (Dawson et al.). Specifically, e.g., claim 48 of this application corresponds nearly identically to claim 13 of Dawson et al., U.S. Patent No. 5,466,861.

A Request For Interference will be filed separately by applicants.

Accompanying this petition is our check for \$130.00 for the fee set forth in 37 CFR § 1.17(i). The Assistant Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required by this petition, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 12-2475.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 14, 1998

Hope E. Merville

Reg. No. 34,874

LYON & LYON LLP 633 West Fifth Street Suite 4700 Los Angeles, California 90071-2066 (213) 489-1600

LA-54680.1

Please acknowledge receipt of the following by affixing hereon the Patent and Trademark Office date stamp and returning this card to our office.

Applicant:

' BOEHM et al.

Serial No.:

08/479,920

For:

COMPOUNDS HAVING SELECTIVE ACTIVITY FOR

RETINOID X RECEPTORS, AND MEANS FOR MODULATION

OF PROCESSES MEDIATED BY RETINOID X RECEPTORS

Filed:

June 7, 1995

Title of Document:

PETITION PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.313(a) TO WITHDRAW APPLICATION FROM ISSUANCE

Attorney(s):

Hope E. Melville

Docket No.:

214/226

Docket No.: 214/220

Enclosure: Check No. 45089 - \$130.00 (petition filing fee)

Express Mail Label No. EM351173167US

Date of Deposit: Oct. 14, 1998

UNION BANK OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

16-49

LYON & LYON HP

633 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 4700

ATTORNEYS - AT - LAW

OS ANGELES CALIFORNIA 90071-20

No. 45089

DOCKET NO. 214/226 SERIAL NO. 08/479,920 APPLICANT BOEHN ET AL.

EXPLANATION	AMOUNT		
PETITION FEE	130.		

 $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Y}}$

TOTHE

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OCTOBER 13, 1998

130.00

EYON & LYON III

Kosi

· O 5089 · 7 · 1 2 2000 4 9 6 · 0 3 20 1 7 7 6 2 6 · •

LYON & LYON ...

REMITTANCE ADVICE

TO COST OF

PETITION FEE

 $0.00 \pm (12000)$



JAN 2 1 2004

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Patent No. 214/226

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RAPES		
In re the Application of:) Group Art Unit: 1621	
BOEHM, et al.) Examiner: Killos, P.	RECEIVED
Serial No.: 08/479,920) Ladininei: Killos, F.	JAN 1 4 2004
Filed: June 7, 1995)	OFFICE OF PETITIONS
For: COMPOUNDS HAVING SELECTIVE ACTIVITY FOR RETINOID X RECEPTORS, AND MEANS FOR MODULATION OF PROCESSES MEDIATED BY RETINOID X RECEPTORS))))	*
AMENDMENT AN	D REMARKS	
Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231		
Sir:		
		· ·
Please amend the above-identified applica	ition as follows:	
IN THE CLAIMS:		
Please cancel claims 45, 46 and 48 withou	ut prejudice. Pursuant to the	discussion
with Examiner Killos at the Examiner's Interview		
CERTIFICATE OF		
I hereby certify that this paper (along with any referred to as bei United States Patent and Trademark Office, Group 1621 at Crys	ing attached or enclosed) is being hand stal Mall 1, Arlington, Virginia.	d delivered to
<u> </u>	Michael Wise	
	Name of Person Filing Paper	
August 10, 1999	Mula g/W	Lie
Date of Deposit	Signature of Person Filing Pa	per

Patent No. <u>214/226</u>

further response and/or amendment that addresses the Examiner's comments regarding Davies' U.S Patent No. 5,399,586.

Respectfully submitted,

LYON & LYON LLP

Dated:

August 10, 1999

Michael J. Wise

Registration No. 34,047

633 West Fifth Street, Suite 4700 Los Angeles, California 90071-2066 (213) 489-1600



RECEIVED

JAN 2 1 2004

TECH CENTER 1600/2900



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
06/07/9920 06/07/95	BOEHM etal		214/226
	*		EXAMINER
		4//	e Paul J
		ART UNIT	7
	• • •	16-71	PAPER NUMBER
		1001	
EXAMINI	ER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RE	DATE MAILED: CORD	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe	. 1100		
(1) Muchael West	(3) L Elm	nei	
(2) C Candilow	(4)	· · · · · ·	
Date of Interview 10 Aug. 1989			
Type: ☐ Telephonic	pplicant (applicant's representative)	•	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes	· / \	<u>:</u>	
		•	
Agreement □ was reached with respect to some or all of the	he claims in question.	hed.	
Claims discussed:	<u> </u>		
Identification of prior art discussed:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if a	n agreement was reached, or any other	comments:	se a up
all a Cilial ac	10	01 /	
removed will com	en with de	Carm a	envou ay
intertermy with	Volie & sun	I daim	the
00.6.00			
_allswafty			
			·
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendattached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which we	ments, if available, which the examiner would render the claims allowable is ava	agreed would render t	he claims aflowable must be reof must be attached.)
1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa			.`
Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF TH action has already been filed, then applicant is given one management.	to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN FILE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the re	RESPONSE TO THE	n). It a response to the last Office
2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (in requirements that may be present in the last Office response requirements of the last Office action. A box 1 above is also checked.	ncluding any attachments) reflects a con e action, and since the claims are now a Applicant is not relieved from providing a	nplete response to ear allowable, this complet separate regard of th	ch of the objections, rejections and ed form is considered to fulfill the
PTOL-413 (REV. 2-93)		's Signature	,
OHIGINAL FOR INSE	RTION IN RIGHT HAND FLAP OF	FILE WRAPPER .	